

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/750,507 | 12/29/2000 | Byoung Heon Lee | P-176 | 6878 | |
| 34610 7: | 590 05/17/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| FLESHNER & KIM, LLP | | | CHOU, ALBERT T | | |
| P.O. BOX 2212 CHANTILLY, | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| CHANNELL, VA 20133 | | | 2662 | 2662 | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/750,507 | LEE, BYOUNG HEON | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Albert T. Chou | 2662 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 | February 2005. | • | | | |
| ·= · · _ - | nis action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | • | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-5 and 8 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1,2,6,7 and 9-18 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 19-47 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li | ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received and (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The following is a response to the amendment filed on February 17, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 19-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Havinis et al. (US Patent No. 6,259,454), hereinafter referred to as Havinis, in view of Alewine et al. (US Patent No. 6,150,961), hereinafter referred to as Alewine.

Regarding claims 19 and 34, Havinis discloses a mobile station calculates its own location in a cellular network and reports that calculated location back to the requester, base station and switching center. The mobile station can be a car phone, or other portable phone, used by the mobile subscribers to communicate with cellular network, each other, and user outside the subscribed network [Col. 1, lines 38-41]. Havinis does not teach a method for determining vehicle traffic. Alewine teaches a method and a system for determining vehicle traffic, comprising:

receiving location information from a mobile terminal [Fig. 2; col. 3, lines 58-59; a mobile unit 101 in communication with the central monitoring station];

detecting whether the mobile terminal is in a moving vehicle [Fig. 2; col. 3, lines 62-66; Data from the GPS device 203 is passed to CPU 204 which computes a current location of the vehicle from the GPS data and transmits to data aggregator 222; monitoring the vehicle's position as a function of time reveals the velocity of the vehicle]; and

if the mobile terminal is in a moving vehicle, determining traffic conditions along a route based on the location information [Fig. 2; col. 4, lines 12-16; The data aggregator functions 222 infers from the aggregate data input from the set of vehicles traffic congestion in the area and by accessing the map database 223 can generate alternative routes for the individual vehicle].

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the position and speed information (vehicle's position as a function of time) of Alewine with the chronicled location information of Havinis. The motivation would have been to provide a warning of delays or updates on traffic conditions on the road ahead to the mobile subscribers.

Regarding claims 20, 21, 39 and 40, Havinis teaches transmitting location information of one terminal to another terminal. Havinis does not teach transmitting information indicative of the traffic conditions to another mobile terminal in response to a request signal from the other mobile terminal. Alewine teaches the method and the system of transmitting information indicative of the traffic conditions to another mobile terminal in response to a request signal from the other mobile terminal [Fig. 2; col. 4, lines 12-16; The data aggregator functions 222 infers from the aggregate data

input from the set of vehicles traffic congestion in the area and by accessing the map database 223 can generate alternative routes for the individual vehicle. Fig. 3; col. 4, lines 39-41; The central monitoring station 120 broadcasts the updated traffic map to the mobile unit via cellular infrastructure 215; Fig. 3; steps; Receive POS/VEL INFO, REQUEST TRAFFIC MAP DATA, AGGREGATE MU INFO, UPDATE & SEND TRAFFIC MAP TO MOBILE UNIT]. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the vehicle traffic conditions, including maps of congestion and alternative routes, of Alewine with the chronicled location information of Havinis. The motivation would have been to provide a warning of delays or updates on traffic conditions on the road ahead to the mobile subscribers.

Regarding claims 22 and 41, Havinis teaches the local information includes: locations of the mobile terminal along the route [Fig. 4; col. 5, lines 65-66]; and times when the locations were detected [Fig. 4; col. 5, line 67, col. 6, line 1-3; store the calculated location with a timestamp in the memory].

Regarding claims 23, 24, 25, 42, 43 and 44, Havinis teaches the location is included within a respective one of a plurality of services areas of a mobile communication system [Fig. 3; Cell 22] and only one location for each of the service areas is received in a single transmission [Fig. 3; Cell 22, Position Information 205 and Serving BTS 24].

Regarding claims 26, 27, and 45, Havinis teaches the single transmission is received after detection of a predetermined number of locations of the mobile terminal

and the predetermined number is indicated by a parameter transmitted to the mobile terminal [Figs 3 & 4; col. 5, lines 10-16; When the LA 280 sends the positioning request 285 to MS 20 via BSC and serving BTS, the position request includes a positioning indicator 287 and a reporting indicator 288. The position indicator indicates how often the MS 20 should position itself and the reporting indicator indicates how often the MS 20 should report the location information].

Regarding claims 28 and 37, Havinis teaches the position request includes a positioning indicator 287 and a reporting indicator 288. The position indicator indicates how often the MS 20 should position itself and the reporting indicator indicates how often the MS 20 should report the location information [Havinis: Figs 3 & 4; col. 5, lines 10-16]. Havinis does not expressly teach both position indicator and the reporting indicator vary based on at least one of road conditions and traffic congestion along the road. Alewine teaches computing a current location of the vehicle from the GPS data and monitoring the vehicle's position as a function of time reveals the velocity of the vehicle [Alewine: Fig. 2; col. 3, lines 62-66]. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the variables of position indicator and the reporting indicator in Havinis with the vehicle traffic conditions, including maps of congestion and alternative routes, in Alewine. The motivation would have been to provide a warning of delays or updates on traffic conditions on the road ahead to the mobile subscribers based on the realistic number of times of transmitting report and thus reducing the traffic load of the network.

Regarding claims 29 and 30, Havinis teaches the position request includes a positioning indicator 287 and a reporting indicator 288. The position indicator indicates how often the MS 20 should position itself and the reporting indicator indicates how often the MS 20 should report the location information [Havinis: Figs 3 & 4; col. 5, lines 10-16]. Havinis does not expressly teach determining the vehicle traffic. Alewine teaches the determination of vehicle traffic and the detection of whether the mobile terminal is in a moving vehicle includes:

comparing the times to a predetermined interval value [Fig.2; col. 1, lines 59-63; the collective input of a set of mobile units is processed and a statistical sampling of mobile units may give an accurate estimate of traffic patterns]; and

determining whether the mobile terminal is in a moving vehicle or carried by a walking user based on the result of the comparison [Fig. 2; col. 3, lines 65-67, col. 4, lines 1-4; monitoring the vehicle's position as a function of time reveals the velocity of the vehicle or mobile unit is carried by a walking user].

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the position indicator and the reporting indicator in Havinis with the vehicle traffic conditions, including maps of congestion and alternative routes, in Alewine. The motivation would have been to provide a warning of delays or updates on traffic on the road ahead to the vehicle subscribers based on the optimal number of times of transmitting report and thus reducing the traffic load of the network.

Regarding claim 31, Havinis teaches the mobile terminal performs mobile communication service functions and traffic information service functions [Col. 3,

lines13-15; a Mobile Station 20 calculates its own position within a cellular network to report that calculated location to the requester].

Regarding claim 32, Havinis teaches the mobile terminal is PCS terminal [Fig. 1; col. 1, lines 38-40; MS 20 is a car phone or other portable phone used by the mobile subscribers to communicate with the cellular network and each other].

Regarding claim 33, Havinis teaches the location information is received from the mobile terminal through base transceiver station located at predetermined position along the route [Figs. 1 & 3, MS 20, Position Information 205, Serving BTS 24; col. 4, lines 9-15].

Regarding claim 35, Havinis teaches the network block includes a plurality of base transceiver stations, each in a respective one of a plurality of service area of a mobile communication system for receiving location data from the mobile terminal information is received from the mobile terminal [Figs. 1 & 3, MS 20, Position Information 205, Serving BTS 24; col. 4, lines 9-15].

Regarding claim 36, Havinis teaches the first base transceiver station transmits a parameter to the mobile terminal indicating a number of times location detection is to be performed [Figs 3 & 4; col. 5, lines 10-16; When the LA 280 sends the positioning request 285 to MS 20 via MSC and serving BTS, the position request includes a positioning indicator 287 and a reporting indicator 288. The position indicator indicates how often the MS 20 should position itself.]; and a second base transceiver station receives the location information from the mobile terminal after location detection has been perform said number times [Figs 3 & 4; col. 5, lines 10-16;

Application/Control Number: 09/750,507 Page 8

Art Unit: 2662

When the LA 280 sends the positioning request 285 to MS 20 via MSC and serving BTS, the position request includes a positioning indicator 287 and a reporting indicator 288. The reporting indicator indicates how often the MS 20 should report the location information.].

Regarding claim 38, Havinis teaches the location information includes only one location of the mobile terminal in each of the service area [Figs 3 & 4; col. 5, lines 10-16; When the LA 280 sends the positioning request 285 to MS 20 via MSC and serving BTS, the position request includes a positioning indicator 287 and a reporting indicator 288. The position indicator indicates how often the MS 20 should position itself]

Regarding claim 47, Havinis and Alewine teach the PCS system includes mobile units, cellular infrastructure including a plurality of BTS, BSC and MSC, and central monitoring station/mobile location center in the switching center [Havinis: Figs 1-4; Alewine Fig. 2].

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 9-18 are allowed.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Albert T. Chou whose telephone number is 571-272-6045. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 571-272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/750,507

Art Unit: 2662

Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Albert T. Chou May 4, 2005

hassan kizou

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600